The Simplex Method Continued

Class 8

March 1, 2023

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQ(()



Exam 1: Wednesday March 15



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Comments on Homework Assignment 2

 Define Variables
 Example: Let L be the number of Lounge Chairs produced in a week

Write Constraints in the form

$$a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \ldots + a_nx_n \leq b$$

Left hand side should be a linear combination of the decision variables

You may have = or \geq in place of \leq .

- Do Not Forget Non-Negativity Constraints
- Read problems carefully. Provide justifications for claims. Give examples where asked. Use methods stated.

The definitions and theorems are applicable to sets in Rⁿ, no matter how big *n* is. In particular: The Intersection of Any Collection of Convex Sets is Convex Solutions of Any Linear Inequality is Convex Constraint Set of any LP Problem is Convex A Local Maximum for a Linear Function on a Convex Set is a Global Maximum

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

The Simplex Method In a Nutshell



Convert Inequalities to Equations Using Slack Variables. Create Tableau of Coefficients of the Equations.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Step 1

Start with a feasible tableau, i.e. a basic solution that is also non-negative. **Test For Optimality**: If the objective function row of the tableau has no negative entries, the solution is optimal.

Step 2

If there is at least one negative entry, choose as the pivot column the one with the largest negative entry in the objective function row, ignoring the last column.

For each row except the objective function row, compute θ ratios for all positive entries in the pivot column

$$\theta = rac{\text{entry in last column}}{\text{entry in pivot column}}$$

 θ ratios must all be non-negative.

Choose as the pivot row the one with the smallest θ ratio. If there is a tie, decide arbitrarily.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Carry out a pivot operation. Make Pivot Element = 1. Make Other Elements in Pivot Column = 0.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Step 6

Repeat steps 2 through 5 until no new pivot column can be found.

A calculation with the simplex method terminates when either no pivot column or pivot row can be found.

If there is no pivot column (no negative entry in the objective function row), then the current solution is optimal.

If there is a pivot column but all its entries are either zero or negative, then one can show that the problem has an unbounded solution, i.e., the objective function can be made arbitrarily large.



George B. and Anne S. Dantzig August 1936 Geroge: November 8, 1914 – May 13, 2005 Anne: February 20, 1917 – August 10, 2006

э

GOAL: FIND A SOLUTION ALGORITHM

which will

1. Find an optimal feasible solution, if it exists, in an efficient manner.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- 2. Tell us if the objective function is unbounded.
- 3. Tell us if the constraint set is empty.

The Simplex Method So Far

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- Given an initial basic feasible solution, it finds an optimal solution if one exists. (Does it always?)
- Each step involves elementary calculations
- It recognizes unbounded problems

How Simplex Method Recognizes Unbounded Problem

Suppose tableau looks like

	Z	x	у	и	V	W	
Ζ	1	0	0	-1/30	11/20	0	1230
x	0	1	0	-0	-1/10	0	140
y	0	0	1	-1/12	1/4	0	150
w	0	0	0	-2/5	-8/3	1	240

If there is a pivot column but all its entries are either zero or negative, then the problem has an unbounded solution, i.e., the objective function can be made arbitrarily large

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

How Simplex Method Recognizes Unbounded Problem

Suppose tableau looks like

		Ζ	x	y	и	V	W		
	Ζ	1	0	0	-1/30	11/20	0	1230	
	X	0	1	0	-0	-1/10	0	140	
	y	0	0	1	-1/12	1/4	0	150	
	W	0	0	0	-2/5	-8/3	1	240	
Corre	spor	ndin	g ec	quat	ions are	Z = 123 $x =$ $y = 15$ $w = 24$	$\frac{30 + 140}{50 + 40 + 140}$	$\frac{\frac{1}{30}u}{1+\frac{1}{10}v} + \frac{1}{12}u - \frac{1}{12}u + \frac{2}{5}u + \frac$	$\frac{11}{20}$ $\frac{1}{4}V$

If there is a pivot column but all its entries are either zero or negative, then the problem has an unbounded solution, i.e., the objective function can be made arbitrarily large Other Features of the Simplex Method To Explore

Does it recognize multiple optimal solutions when they occur?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

- Can it detect infeasible problems?
- What might go wrong?

How Does Simplex Method Detect Multiple Optimal Solutions?

Simplex Method Recognizes Multiple Optimal Solutions

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Maximize } Z = 5x + 4y \text{ subject to} \\ 30x + 12y \leq 6000 \\ 10x + 8y \leq 2600 \\ 4x + 8y \leq 2000 \\ x \geq 0, y \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Introduce Slack Variables u, v, w: Maximize Z = 5x + 4y subject to 30x + 12y + u = 6000 10x + 8y + v = 2600 4x + 8y + w = 2000 $x \ge 0, y \ge 0, u \ge 0, v \ge 0, w \ge 0$ Iteration 1: x enters basis, u leaves. Iteration 2: y enters basis, v leaves.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

Simplex Method Recognizes Multiple Optimal Solutions

After 2 iterations, tableau looks like

		Ζ	x	у	и	V	W	
Z		1	0	0	0	1/2	0	1300
x	:	0	1	0	1/15	-1/10	0	140
у	,	0	0	1	-2/25	1/4	0	150
и	/	0	0	0	2/5	-8/5	1	240

We have an optimal basic feasible solution with x = 140, y = 150and Z = 1300.

If we try to let v enter the basis, Z will decrease.

But what if *u* enters the basis?

Z will remain at 1300.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Simplex Method Recognizes Multiple Optimal Solutions



Degeneracy and Cycling



Robert Gary Bland (born February 25, 1948)

(日)

Degeneracy



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Cycling



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─ 臣

IOR Tutorial

Let's see how to use IOR Tutorial

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 差 = のへで

Finding IOR Tutorial



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

The Simplex Method

Fromage Cheese Company Problem

$$-4.5x - 4y + Z = 0$$

$$30x + 12y + u = 6000$$

$$10x + 8y + v = 2600$$

$$4x + 8y + w = 2000$$

Initial Feasible Solution: x = 0, y = 0, u = 6000, v = 2500, w = 2000

	Z	x	у	и	v	W				
Ζ	1	-4.5	-4	0	0	0	0			
и	0	30	12	1	0	0	6000			
V	0	10	8	0	1	0	2600			
w	0	4	8	0	0	1	2000			
								• • 三 • • 三 •	- 2	590

		Ζ	x	у	и	v	W		
-	Ζ	1	-4.5	-4	0	0	0	0	
-	и	0	30	12	1	0	0	6000	6000/30 = 200
	v	0	10	8	0	1	0	2600	2600/10 = 260
	w	0	4	8	0	0	1	2000	2000/4 = 500
		Z	x	у	и	V	W		
	Ζ	1	-4.5	-4	0	0	0	0	
	и	0	30	12	1	0	0	6000	6000/30 = 200
	V	0	10	8	0	1	0	2600	2600/10 = 260
	W	0	4	8	0	0	1	2000	2000/4 = 500
			\uparrow						

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶

	Before Iteration:														
	Z	x	У	и	V	W									
Ζ	1	-4.5	-4	0	0	0	0								
и	0	30	12	1	0	0	6000	6000/30 = 200							
v	0	10	8	0	1	0	2600	2600/10 = 260							
W	0	4	8	0	0	1	2000	2000/4 = 500							
		\uparrow													

After iteration:

	Z	x	У	и	V	W	
Ζ	1	0	-11/5	3/20	0	0	900
x	0	1	2/5	1/30	0	0	200
v	0	0	4	-1/3	1	0	600
W	0	0	32/5	-2/15	0	1	1200

The tableau after several iterations:

	Z	x	У	и	V	W	
Ζ	1	0	0	-1/30	11/20	0	1230
X	0	1	0	1/15	-1/10	0	140
у	0	0	1	-1/12	1/4	0	150
W	0	0	0	2/5	-8/3	1	240

Current values: x = 140, y = 150, w = 240, u = 0, v = 0Basic variables: x, y, wNonbasic variables: u, vu will enter the basis.

$$Z-1/30 u + 11/20v = 1230$$

x + 1/15 u - 1/10v = 140
y-1/12 u + 1/4v = 150
2/5 u - 8/3v + w = 240

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

Current values: x = 140, y = 150, w = 240, u = 0, v = 0Basic variables: x, y, wNonbasic variables: u, vu will enter the basis.

$$Z-1/30 u + 11/20v = 1230$$

x + 1/15 u - 1/10v = 140
y-1/12 u + 1/4v = 150
2/5 u - 8/3v + w = 240

Increasing *u* will increase *Z* Increasing *u* will decrease *w*. Increasing *u* will decrease *x*. BUT increasing *u* will also increase *y* so no problem.

A George Dantzig Anecdote



Jerzy Neyman

Born: April 16, 1894 in Bendery, Bessarabia, Russian Empire Died: August 5, 1981 in Oakland, California

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)