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# Probabilistic 

 DynamicProgramming I


Henry Brewster decides to market the Fancy Assortment through three local outlets: Shaw's, Hannaford, and the Middlebury Co-Op.

Each day he produces 6 cases ( 24 boxes each) at a cost to him of $\$ 100$ a case. Each case sold at an outlet yields $\$ 200$.

Any unsold assortments are returned to the factory where he can sell them at $\$ 50$ a case as stale products the next day.

## Probability Distribution for Daily Demand

 (demand in cases)|  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Store 1 | Shaw's | $\mathbf{. 6}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{. 4}$ |
| Store 2 | Hannaford's | $\mathbf{. 5}$ | $\mathbf{. 1}$ | $\mathbf{. 4}$ |
| Store 3 | Middlebury <br> Co-Op | $\mathbf{. 4}$ | $\mathbf{. 3}$ | $\mathbf{. 3}$ |

Problem: How should he allocate the 6 cases to the three outlets to maximize his expected revenue?

Observations

- Don't give more than 3 cases to any store
- Distribute all 6

Expected Revenue Earned from Allocating $x_{n}$ cases to store n (in hundreds of dollars)

| $x_{n}$ | Store 1 <br> Shaw's | Store 2 <br> Hannaford's | Store 3 <br> Co-Op |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 2$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 3.10$ | $\$ 3.25$ | $\$ 3.40$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 4.20$ | $\$ 4.35$ | $\$ 4.35$ |

$\frac{\text { Allocate } 2 \text { to Shaw's (Store 1) }}{.6(\text { Sell } 1, \text { Return } 1)+.4(\text { Sell } 2)}$
$.6(2+1 / 2)+.4(4)=1.5+1.6=3.1$

Allocate 3 to Shaw's
.6 (Sell 1, return 2) $+.4($ sell 3$)$

$$
.6(2+1)+.4(6)=1.8+2.4=4.2
$$

Allocate 2 to Hannaford's (Store 2)

$$
\begin{gathered}
.5(\text { Sell 1, return } 1)+.5(\text { sell } 2) \\
.5(2+1 / 2)+.5(4)=3.25
\end{gathered}
$$

Allocate 3 to Hannaford's
$.5($ sell 1 , return 2$)+.1($ sell 2 , return 1$)+.4($ sell3 $)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
.5(2+1)+.1(4+1 / 2)+.4(6) \\
1.5+.45+2.4=4.35
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Allocate } 2 \text { to Co-Op } \\
.4(\text { sell } 1, \text { return } 1)+.6(\text { sell } 2) \\
.4(2+1 / 2)+.6(4)=1+2.4=3.4
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\text { Allocate } 3 \text { to Co-Op }}{} \\
.4(\text { sell } 1 \text {, return } 2)+.3(\text { sell } 2, \text { return } 1)+.3(\text { sell } 3) \\
.4(2+1)+.3(4+?)+.3(6)=1.2+1.35+1.8=4.35
\end{gathered}
$$

Expected Revenue Earned from Allocating $x_{n}$ cases to store n (in hundreds of dollars)

| $x_{n}$ | Store 1 <br> Shaw's | Store 2 <br> Hannaford's | Store 3 <br> Co-Op |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 2$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 3.10$ | $\$ 3.25$ | $\$ 3.40$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 4.20$ | $\$ 4.35$ | $\$ 4.35$ |

Let $r_{i}(s)=$ expected revenue of giving $s$ cases to store $i$. Then the recursive relationship is

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{3}(s)=r_{3}(s) \\
f_{n}(s)=r_{n}(s)+f_{n+1}^{*}(x-s) \\
f_{n}^{*}(s)=\max _{k \leq s}\left[r_{n}(k)+f_{n+1}^{*}(s-k)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

$f_{3}(s)=r_{3}(s)$

| $n=3$ | Co-Op |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $x_{3}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $f_{3}{ }^{*}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  | $x_{3}{ }^{*}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | - | - | 2 | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 3 | 0 | 2 | 3.4 | - | 3.4 | $\mathbf{2}$ |

$f_{2}^{*}(s)=\max _{k \leq s}\left[r_{2}(k)+f_{3}^{*}(s-k)\right]$

| $n=2$ | Hannaford |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{S}{ }$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $f_{2}$ | $x_{2}$ |
| 0 | $0+0=0$ | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | $0+2=2$ | $2+0=2$ | - | - | 2 | 0 or 1 |
| 2 | $0+3.4=3.4$ | $2+2=4$ | $3.25+0$ | - | 4 | 1 |
| 3 | $0+4.35=4$. | $\begin{aligned} & 2+3.4= \\ & 5.4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3.25+2= \\ 5.25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.35+0 \\ & =4.35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5.40 | 1 |
| 4 | - | $2+4.35=$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.25+ \\ & 3.4=6.65 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.35+2 \\ & =6.35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6.65 | 2 |
| 5 | - | - | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3.25+ \\ 4.35=7.60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4.35+ \\ 3.4=7.75 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 7.75 | 3 |
| 6 | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 4.35+4.35 \\ & =8.70 \end{aligned}$ | 8.70 | 3 |

$$
f_{1}^{*}(s)=\max _{k \leq s}\left[r_{1}(k)+f_{2}^{*}(s-k)\right]
$$

| $n=1$ | Shaw's |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{s}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $f_{1}^{*}$ | $x_{1}{ }^{*}$ |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0+ \\ & 8.70 \\ & =8.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2+ \\ & 7.75 \\ & =9.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.10 \\ & +6.65 \\ & =9.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.2+ \\ & 5.40 \\ & =9.60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 9.75 | 1 or 2 |

Solutions

| Store | Number of Cases | Number of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shaw's | 1 | 2 |
| Hannaford | 3 | 2 |
| Co-Op | 2 | 2 |

## Expected Value of Revenue: \$975

## Expected Value of Revenue: \$975



Best Possible Outcome
Sell all 6 cases $\rightarrow \$ 1200$


Worst Possible Outcome
Sell 1 at each store 3 sales, 3 returns
Revenue $=3 \cdot \$ 200+3 \cdot \$ 50=\$ 750$

## Probabilistic Dynamic

## Programming II Choose The Ideal Mate

Choosing The Ideal Mate


## Choosing The Ideal Mate

$N$ candidates each with values 0 - 1000, uniformly distributed. Uniform Distribution: For all $a$ and $b$ with $0 \leq a<b \leq 1000$, the probability that a value lies in the interval $[a, b]$ is proportional to the length of the interval. In this case, the probability would be

$$
\frac{b-a}{1000} .
$$

If we choose at random a number in an interval $[a, b]$, its expected value is the average of $a$ and $b$.
Candidates are chosen at random and presented to you one at a time.
You either Accept or Reject and move on to the next candidate.
Our Question: How should you proceed if you wish to maximize the expected value of the candidate accepted?
[ Different Question: What is the best policy if you wish to maximize the probability of picking the best candidate among the $N$ ? ]

After all our online chats, it's great to finally meet you in person.


Stages: candidates
$x_{n}$ : decision variable" accept or reject.
$s$ : value of current candidate
Stage $N$ : Nth candidate has value uniformly distributed on $[0,1000]$ so the Expected Value is 500. Stage $N-1$ : Consider $N-1$ st Candidate:

Accept if $s>500$; that is $s$ is in the interval $[500,1000]$
Expected Value is $\frac{500+1000}{2}=750$.
Reject is $s \leq 500$ in which case the Expected Value is 500
Thus EV $=\frac{1}{2}(750)+\frac{1}{2}(500)=\frac{1}{2}(750+500)=625$

Stage $N-2$ : Consider $N-2$ nd Candidate:
Accept if $s>625$; that is, $s$ is in the interval [ 625,1000 ]
Expected Value is $\frac{625+1000}{2}=812.50$
Reject is $s \leq 625$ in which case the Expected Value is 625
Thus EV $=(.625)(625)+(1-.625)(812.50)=695.3$
Stage $N-3$ : Consider $N-3$ rd Candidate:
Accept if $s>695.3$; that is, $s$ is in the interval [695.3,1000] Expected Value is $\frac{695.3+1000}{2}=847.5$
Reject is $s \leq 695.3$ in which case the Expected Value is 695.3
Thus EV $=(.6953)(695.3)+(1-.6953)(847.50)=741.5$

Stage $N-3$ : Consider $N-3$ rd Candidate:
Accept if $s>695.3$; that is, $s$ is in the interval [ 695.3,1000] Expected Value is $\frac{695.3+1000}{2}=847.5$
Reject is $s \leq 695.3$ in which case the Expected Value is 695.3
Thus EV $=(.6953)(695.3)+(1-.6953)(847.50)=741.5$
General Case
Accept if $s>x$; that is, $s$ is in the interval $[x, 1000]$ Expected Value is $\frac{x+1000}{2}$
Reject is $s \leq x$ in which case the Expected Value is $x$
Thus EV $=\frac{x}{1000} x+\left(1-\frac{x}{1000}\right)\left(\frac{x+1000}{2}\right)$
$\mathbf{E V}=\frac{x^{2}}{1000}+\left(\frac{1000-x}{1000}\right)\left(\frac{x+1000}{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{E V}=\frac{x^{2}}{1000}+\left(\frac{1000-x}{1000}\right)\left(\frac{x+1000}{2}\right) \\
\mathbf{E V}=\frac{2 x^{2}}{2000}+\frac{1000^{2}-x^{2}}{2000}=\frac{x^{2}+1000^{2}}{2000} \\
\text { Improvement: } \frac{x^{2}+1000^{2}}{2000}-x= \\
\frac{x^{2}-2000 x+1000^{2}}{2000}=\frac{(x-1000)^{2}}{2000}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\text { Sequence: } x_{1}=500 ; x_{n}=\frac{x_{n}^{2}+10000^{2}}{2000}
$$

The sequence has a limit $L$ which satisfies $L=\frac{L^{2}+1000^{2}}{2000}$
$L^{2}-2000 L+1000^{2}=0 \rightarrow(L-1000)^{2}=0 \rightarrow L=1000$

What is the Incremental Improvement in Looking at One More Candidate?

$$
\frac{(x-1000)^{2}}{2000}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=500: \text { improvement is } \frac{(500-1000)^{2}}{2000}=\frac{250000}{2000}=125 \\
& x=850: \text { improvement is } \frac{(850-1000)^{2}}{2000}=\frac{22500}{2000}=11.25
\end{aligned}
$$

When is the Incremental Improvement less than 5?

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{(x-1000)^{2}}{2000}<5 \\
(x-1000)^{2}>5(2000)=10000=10^{4} \\
|x-1000|<10^{2} \\
|x-1000|<100
\end{gathered}
$$

AS SOON AS $x>900$


# Next Time: Use Dynamic Programming To Solve <br> Fromage Cheese Company Problem 

