The Penultimate Class


Class 35

May 12, 2023

## Handouts

## Notes on Assignment 11

Vogel's Method
Notes on Project 2

## Final Examination Thursday May 18 9 AM - Noon

Course Response Forms Monday
Bring Laptop/Smart Phone

## An Unbalanced Transportation Problem

Vogel's Method and Unbalanced Transportation Problem

|  | W1 | W2 | W3 | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 2 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 3 | 200 |
| F2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 100 |
| F3 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 4 | 3 | 400 |
| Demand | 150 | 120 | 300 |  |

Table 1: Original Data. F1, F2, F3 are Factories while W1, W2, W3 are the warehouses.
Red Numbers are shipping costs per truckload.
Here Supply (700) exceeds Demand (570) by 130

## An Unbalanced Transportation Problem

Vogel's Method and Unbalanced Transportation Problem

|  | W1 | W2 | W3 | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 200 |
| F2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 100 |
| F3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 400 |
| Demand | 150 | 120 | 300 |  |

Table 1: Original Data. F1, F2, F3 are Factories while W1, W2, W3 are the warehouses.
Red Numbers are shipping costs per truckload.
Here Supply (700) exceeds Demand (570) by 130
NORTHWEST CORNER RULE

|  | W1 |  | W2 |  |  | W3 |  | W4 | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |  | 200 |
| F2 | $\mathbf{2}$ |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | 100 |  |
| F3 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 4 |  | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ | 400 |  |
| Demand | 150 |  |  | 120 |  | 300 | 130 |  |  |

TOTAL COST: 1420

Northwest Corner Rule produces a basic feasible solution with objective function value 1420 .

Vogel's Method produces one with value 1170.

Vogel's Method and Unbalanced Transportation Problem

|  | W1 | W2 | W3 | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 200 |
| F2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 100 |
| F3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 400 |
| Demand | 150 | 120 | 300 |  |

Table 1: Original Data. F1, F2, F3 are Factories while W1, W2, W3 are the warehouses.
Red Numbers are shipping costs per truckload.
Here Supply (700) exceeds Demand (570) by 130

|  | W1 | W2 | W3 | W4 | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 200 |
| F2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 100 |
| F3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 400 |
| Demand | 150 | 120 | 300 | 130 |  |

Table 2: We create an artificial warehouse (W4) with demand =130 so we now have a Balanced Transportation Problem.
We will illustrate Vogel's Method of obtaining initial basic feasible solution

|  | W1 | W2 | W3 | W4 | Supply | Penalty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 200 | 1 |
| F2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 2 |
| F3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 400 | 1 |
| Demand | 150 | 120 | 300 | 130 |  |  |
| Penalty | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |

Table 3: For each row and column, the "penalty" is the difference between the smallest and second smallest cost in that row or column. Pick the row or column with the smallest penalty.

Choose arbitrarily if there is a tie.

|  | W1 | W2 | W3 | W4 | Supply | Penalty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 200 | 1 |
| F2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 1000 |
| F3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 400 | 1 |
| Demand | 150 | 120 | 300 | 13030 |  |  |
| Penalty | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |

Table 4: Pick cell in chosen row or column which has smallest cost. Make that decision variable basic, assigning value equal to the smaller of Supply and Demand for that cell. In this case, we chose to make F2W4 basic, with value $=\min (100,130)=100$ we have used all the supply from F2 so we will ignore that row from now on.

|  | W1 |  | W2 |  | W3 |  | W4 |  | Supply | Penalty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 200170 | 1 |  |  |  |
| F2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 1000 | 2 |  |  |  |
| F3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 400 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Demand | 150 | 120 | 300 | 130300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Penalty | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5: Smallest remaining penalty is still in W4 column. We make F1W4 basic

|  | W1 | W2 | W3 | W4 | Supply | Penalty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 2 | 120 | 3 | $0 \quad 30$ | 170-50 | 1 |
| F2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | $0 \quad 100$ | 0 | 2 |
| F3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 400 | 1 |
| Demand | 150 | 1200 | 300 | 0 |  |  |
| Penalty | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |

Table 6: Now the W4 demand has been met so we will ignore this column. The smallest remaining penalty is 1 . We can choose any remaining row or column with penalty 1 . We chose F1W2 and made it basic. This meets W2's demand so ignore that column later.

|  | W1 |  | W2 |  | W3 |  | W4 |  | Supply | Penalty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | 3 | 0 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 50 |  |  |  |
| F2 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 4 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 0 | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |  |
| F3 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | 4 | 3 | 0 | 400250 | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |  |
| Demand | 1500 | 0 | 300 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Penalty | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7: Make F3W1 Basic

|  |  | W1 | W2 |  |  | W3 |  | W4 | Supply | Penalty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 2 |  | 1 | 120 | 3 |  | 0 | 30 | 50 | 1 |
| F2 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 2 |
| F3 | 1 | 150 | 4 |  | 3 | 250 | 0 |  | 2500 | 1 |
| Demand |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 30050 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Penalty |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |

Table 8: Make F3W3 Basic

|  |  | W1 | W2 |  | W3 |  | W4 |  | Supply | Penalty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 2 |  | 1 | 120 | 3 | 50 | 0 | 30 | 500 | 1 |
| F2 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 2 |
| F3 | 1 | 150 | 4 |  | 3 | 250 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |
| Demand |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 500 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Penalty |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |

Table 8: Make F1W3 Basic

|  | W1 |  | W2 |  | W3 |  | W4 |  | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | $\mathbf{2}$ |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 200 |
| F2 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 4 |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 100 |  |  |
| F3 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | 4 | 3 | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |  | 400 |  |
| Demand | 150 |  | 120 |  | 300 |  | 150 |  |  |

Table 9: We now have an initial basic feasible solution. We've put back the original supplies and demands for convenience. Now test for optimality.

| $\mathrm{u} 1+\mathrm{v} 2=1$ | $\mathrm{u} 1=0$ | $\mathrm{v} 1=1$ | $\mathrm{t} 11=2-0-1=1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{u} 1+\mathrm{v} 3=3$ | $\mathrm{u} 2=0$ | $\mathrm{v} 2=1$ | $\mathrm{t} 21=2-0-1=1$ |
| $\mathrm{u} 1+\mathrm{v} 4=0$ | $\mathrm{u} 3=0$ | $\mathrm{v} 3=3$ | $\mathrm{t} 22=2-0-1=1$ |
| $\mathrm{u} 2+\mathrm{v}=0$ |  | $\mathrm{v} 4=0$ | $\mathrm{t} 23=4-0-3=1$ |
| $\mathrm{u} 3+\mathrm{v}=0$ |  |  | $\mathrm{t} 32=4-3-1=0$ |
| $\mathrm{u} 3+\mathrm{v} 3=3$ |  |  | $\mathrm{t} 34=0-0-0=0$ |

Table 10: Test for Optimality. All the tij are greater than or equal to 0 so we have an optimal solution. If a $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ij}}=0$, that indicates that we have multiple optimal solutions. Here we can obtain another optimal solution by letting F3W2 or F3W4 basic.

|  | W1 | W2 | W3 | W4 | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 2 | 1120 | $3 \mathbf{5 0}^{+}$ | $0 \quad 30^{-}$ | 200 |
| F2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | $0 \quad 100$ | 100 |
| F3 | 1150 | 4 | $3 \quad 250{ }^{-}$ | $0 \mathrm{y}^{+}$ | 400 |
| Demand | 150 | 120 | 300 | 150 |  |

Table 11: Making F3W4 basic. Least negative square is 30 so we add 30 to F3W4 and F1W3, subtract 30 from F1W4 and F3W3. Note that F1W4 will leave the basis.

|  | W1 | W2 |  | W3 |  | W4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 2 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}^{ \pm} \mathbf{8 0}$ | 0 | Supply |
| F2 | 2 |  | 2 | 4 | 0 | 200 |  |
| F3 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | 4 | $3 \mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 100 |  |  |

Table 12: Carry out the steps indicated by comments under Table 11.

|  | W1 |  | W2 |  | W3 |  | W4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | Supply |  |
| F2 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 4 |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 200 |  |
| F3 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | 4 | 3 | $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 400 |
| Demand | 150 |  | 120 |  | 300 |  | 150 |  |

Table 13: Result of the Iteration. Now test for optimality

| $u 1+v 2=1$ | $u 1=0$ | $v 1=1$ | $t 11=2-0-1=1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $u 1+v 3=3$ | $u 2=0$ | $v 2=1$ | $t 14=0-0-0=0$ |
| $u 2+v 4=0$ | $u 3=0$ | $v 3=3$ | $t 21=2-0-1=1$ |
| $u 3+v 1=1$ |  | $v 4=0$ | $t 22=2-0-1=1$ |
| $u 3+v 3=3$ |  |  | $t 23=4-0-3=1$ |
| $u 3+v 4=0$ |  |  | $t 32=4-0-1=3$ |
|  |  |  | OPTIMAL! |

Table 14: Carry out optimality test. All the tij's are $\geq 0$ so we have reached an optimal solution.

## Some Important OR Topics Yet To Be Explored:

Integer Programming<br>Quadratic and Other Nonlinear Programming<br>Combinatorial Programming<br>Assignment Problems<br>Inventory Theory<br>Queues<br>Decision Theory<br>Markov Decision Processes<br>Simulation<br>Supply Chain Management

