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## Solving

## Transportation Problems

A manufacturer has $m=$ three factories at different locations $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$ that ship the product to $n=$ four warehouses in different parts of the country $W_{1}, W_{2}, W_{3}, W_{4}$.

She wishes to minimize the total shipping cost, while meeting the demand with the available supply.

## Shipping Costs (Thousands of Dollars Per Truckload)

|  |  | W1 | W2 | W3 | W4 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Factories | F1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |  |
|  | F2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | Supplies |
|  | F3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |  |  |
|  |  | Demands |  |  |  |  |  |


| Warehouses |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | W1 | W2 | W3 | W4 |  |
| F1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |
| F2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
| F3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ |

Let $x_{i j}$ be the number of truckloads to send from Factory $F_{i}$ to Warehouse $W_{j}$

Minimize $Z=2 x_{11}+1 x_{12}+3 x_{13}+5 x_{14}+2 x_{21}+2 x_{22}+4 x_{23}+$

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 x_{24}+1 x_{31}+4 x_{32}+3 x_{33}+2 x_{34} \\
\text { such that }
\end{gathered}
$$

Each factory sends out all its supplies
Each Warehouse receives its total demand.

Minimize $Z=2 x_{11}+1 x_{12}+3 x_{13}+5 x_{14}+2 x_{21}+2 x_{22}+4 x_{23}+$ $1 x_{24}+1 x_{31}+4 x_{32}+3 x_{33}+2 x_{34}$ such that
Each factory sends out all its supplies
Each Warehouse receives its total demand.

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{11}+x_{12}+x_{13}+x_{14}=50  \tag{A}\\
x_{21}+x_{22}+x_{23}+x_{24}=30  \tag{B}\\
x_{31}+x_{32}+x_{33}+x_{34}=70  \tag{C}\\
x_{11}+x_{21}+x_{31}=40  \tag{D}\\
x_{12}+x_{22}+x_{32}=50  \tag{E}\\
x_{13}+x_{23}+x_{33}=25  \tag{F}\\
x_{14}+x_{24}+x_{34}=35  \tag{G}\\
x_{i j} \geq 0, i=1,2,3 ; j=1,2,3,4
\end{gather*}
$$

Begin with blank tableau that has row requirements (supplies) to the right and column requirements (demands) on the bottom


## 50 30 70

Start at Northwest corner.Enter the smaller of the supply and demand. Subtract this number from supply and demand for that factory and warehouse.

## Northwest Corner Rule: Step 1

$$
x_{11}=40
$$



The first column constraint (D) is satisfied, but the first row constraint (A) is not.
We then move to the second cell in the first row and repeat the procedure: enter the minimum of 10 and 50 and subtract 10 .

Step 2:


Now move to the second row and second column.
Enter minimum of 40 and 30

Step 3:


What's Next? Move to the Right or Down, Depending on Which Constraint Remain to be Satisfied

Step 4:


Step 5:


Northwest Corner Rule Produced

|  | $W 1$ | W2 | W3 | W4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 40B | $10 B$ |  |  |
| F2 |  | $30 B$ |  |  |
| F2 |  | $10 B$ | $25 B$ | $35 B$ |

We have completed the process when all constraint requirements have been met.

The process leads to at most $m+n-1$ entries: After the first choice there are $m-1$ vertical moves and $n-1$ horizontal moves for a total of
$1+(m-1)+(n-1)=m+n-1$ entries corresponding to a basic feasible solution.

We need $m+n-1$ entries to have a basic feasible solution

Northwest Corner Rules Gives Basic Feasible Initial Solution Without Considering Shipment Costs

Therefore, Probably Not Optimal

# Vogel's Approximation Method (Discussed in H \& L) <br> Does Pay Attention To Costs <br> Often Yields Optimal or Near Optimal Solutions 

Our Example:<br>Northwest Corner Rule: 335<br>Vogel's Method: 205

Initial Basic Feasible Solution Via Vogel's Method

|  | $W 1$ | W2 | $W 3$ | $W 4$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 2 | $1 \boxed{50}$ | 3 | 5 | 50 |
| F2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | $1 \boxed{30}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
| F3 | 140 | 4 | 3 | 25 | $2 \boxed{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ |  |  |

Objective Function Value For This Basic Feasible Solution Is 205

Initial Basic Feasible Solution via Northwest Corner Rule

| 40 | 10 |  |  | 00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 30 |  |  | $\mathbf{0 0}$ |
|  | 10 | 25 | 35 | $\mathbf{0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 0}$ |  |

Generating Another Basic Feasible Solution:

| 40 | 10 |  |  | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 30 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
|  | 10 | 25 | 35 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |  |

Take any empty cell (one where $x_{i j}=0$. We will make it positive and reduce some other cell to 0 .

## Loop Tracing:

Place a symbol $y$ in an empty cell. Trace out a loop of alternate positive and negative basic cells to the empty cell. Alternate legs of the path must be perpendicular to each other and no more than two basic cells may be connected in any row or column. Consider the cell with $y$ a positive cell.


The path here is $\left(y^{+}, 30^{-}, 10^{+}, 40^{-}\right)$
Enter a value for $y$.
Take smallest $x_{i j}$ with a minus sign, subtract it from all the minus signed cells, and add to all the positive signed cells.

A New Basic Feasible Solution:
Subtract 30 from 40 and 30, add 30 to 10, make y 30 .

| $40^{-}$ | $10^{+}$ |  |  | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y y^{+}$ | $30^{-}$ |  |  |  |
| $y$ | 10 | 25 | 35 | 70 |
|  | 70 | 50 | 25 | 35 |


| 10 | 40 |  |  | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
|  | 10 | 25 | 35 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |


| $2$ <br> 40 | 1 10 | 3 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2^{\mathbf{y}^{+}}$ | $2$ | 4 | 1 |
| 1 | $4$ <br> 10 | $3$ <br> 25 | $2_{35}$ |

Effect on Cost (per unit):
$+2-2+1-2=-1$ per unit shipped Making $x_{21}$ basic would decrease total cost by $\$ 30$.

Alternative Loop:

| $40^{-}$ | $10^{+}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | 30 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | $10^{-}$ | 25 | 35 |
| $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |  |


| 30 | 20 |  |  | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 30 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
| 10 |  | 25 | 35 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |  |


| ${ }^{2}$ | 1 $10^{+}$ | 3 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $2_{30}$ | 4 | 1 |
| $1$ $\mathbf{y}^{+}$ | $4$ <br> 10 | $3$ <br> 25 | $2$ $25$ |

Effect on Cost (per unit):

$$
+1-4+1-2=-4
$$

Making $x_{31}$ basic would decease total cost by $(-4)(10)=40$.

One More Alternative Loop:

| 40 | $10^{-}$ |  | $y^{+}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | 30 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
|  | $10^{+}$ | 25 | $35^{-}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |


| 40 |  |  | 10 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 30 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
|  | 20 | 25 | 25 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |  |


| $2_{40}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | 3 | $3^{\mathbf{y}^{+}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $2_{30}$ | 4 | 1 |
| 1 | $4$ <br> $10^{+}$ | $3$ <br> 25 | $2$ |

Effect on Cost (per unit):

$$
+3-1+4-2=6
$$

Making $x_{14}$ basic would increase total cost!

## Improving A Basic Feasible Solution

Use an Iterative Procedure Like the Simplex Method to Decrease the Value of the Objective Function

$$
z=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i j} x_{i j}
$$

Next solution will produce

$$
\bar{z}=z+y_{i} t_{i j}
$$

where $t_{i j}$ are reduced costs in the same alternating positive and negative cell path used to calculate the

$$
y .
$$

To Decrease the Objective Function, We Only Consider $t_{i j}$ 's Which Are Negative. We Choose the Most Negative Of These.

## But How Do We Calculate $t_{i j}$ ?

Initial Basic Feasible Solution via Northwest Corner Rule

| 40 | 10 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 30 |  |  |
|  | 10 | 25 | 35 |


| Basic | Basic |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Basic |  |  |
|  | Basic | Basic | Basic |


| Basic | Basic |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Basic |  |  |
|  | Basic | Basic | Basic |


| $x_{11}$ | $x_{12}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $x_{22}$ |  |  |
|  | $x_{32}$ | $x_{33}$ | $x_{34}$ |

Shipping Costs (Thousands of Dollars Per Truckload)

|  | W1 | W2 | W3 | W4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 |  |
| F2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 |  |
| F3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  |

We introduce a new set of variables $u_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ by

$$
u_{i}+v_{j}=c_{i j}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}+v_{1}=c_{11}=2 \\
& u_{1}+v_{2}=c_{12}=1 \\
& u_{2}+v_{2}=c_{22}=2 \\
& u_{3}+v_{2}=c_{32}=4 \\
& u_{3}+v_{3}=c_{33}=3 \\
& u_{3}+v_{4}=c_{34}=2
\end{aligned}
$$

We have 6 linear equations in 7 unkowns
In general, there will be $m+n-1$ equations in $m+n$ unknowns.
Set $u_{1}=0$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{1}=0 & v_{1}=2 \\
u_{2}=1 & v_{2}=1 \\
u_{3}=3 & v_{3}=0 \\
& v_{4}=-1
\end{array}
$$

$u_{i}=$ multiple of the original row $i$ that has been subtracted (directly or indirectly) from the original objective function row by the simplex method during all the iterations leading to the current simplex tableau.
$v_{j}=$ multiple of the original row $m+j$ that has been subtracted (directly or indirectly) from the original objective function row by the simplex method during all the iterations leading to the current simplex tableau.

We now compute the $t_{i j}$ to show how to simplify their calculation. We calculate an alternative positive and negative path of costs for each nonbasic cell.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{13}=c_{13}-c_{33}+c_{32}-c_{12} \\
& t_{14}=c_{14}-c_{34}+c_{32}-c_{12} \\
& t_{21}=c_{21}-c_{11}+c_{12}-c_{22} \\
& t_{23}=c_{23}-c_{33}+c_{32}-c_{22} \\
& t_{24}=c_{24}-c_{34}+c_{32}-c_{22} \\
& t_{31}=c_{31}-c_{11}+c_{12}-c_{32}
\end{aligned}
$$

The $t_{i j}$ give the change in the objective function for one unit of $x_{i j}$, if $y_{i}=1$ in $\bar{z}=z+y_{i} t_{i j}$. They play the same role as the entries of the objective function row of the simplex tableau.

Substitute $c_{i j}=u_{i}+v_{j}$ into these equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{13}=c_{13}-c_{33}+c_{32}-c_{12} \\
t_{13}=c_{13}-u_{3}-v_{3}+u_{3}+v_{2}-u_{1}-v_{2} \\
t_{13}=c_{13}-\left(u_{1}+v_{3}\right) \\
\text { similarly } \\
t_{14}=c_{14}-\left(u_{1}+v_{4}\right) \\
t_{21}=c_{21}-\left(u_{2}+v_{1}\right) \\
t_{23}=c_{23}-\left(u_{2}+v_{3}\right) \\
t_{24}=c_{24}-\left(u_{2}+v_{4}\right) \\
t_{31}=c_{31}-\left(u_{3}+v_{1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## In general,

$$
t_{i j}=c_{i j}-\left(u_{i}+v_{j}\right)
$$

The current solution is

| 40 | 10 |  |  | 50 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 30 |  |  | 50 <br> 30 <br> 7 |
|  | 10 | 25 | 35 | 70 |
| 40 | 50 | 25 | 35 |  |

The value of the objective function is

$$
\begin{gathered}
z=c_{11} x_{11}+c_{12} x_{12}+c_{22} x_{22}+c_{32} x_{32}+c_{33} x_{33}+c_{34} x_{34}=2(40)+ \\
1(10)+2(30)+4(10)+3(25)+2(25)=335 .
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Using } t_{i j}=c_{i j}-\left(u_{i}+v_{j}\right) \\
t_{13}=c_{13}-u_{1}-v_{3}=3-0-0=3 \\
t_{14}=c_{14}-u_{1}-v_{4}=5-0+1=6 \\
t_{21}=c_{21}-u_{2}-v_{1}=2-1-2=-1 \\
t_{23}=c_{23}-u_{2}-v_{3}=4-1-0=3 \\
t_{24}=c_{24}-u_{2}-v_{4}=1-1+1=1 \\
t_{31}=c_{31}-u_{3}-v_{1}=1-3-2=-4
\end{gathered}
$$

The negative $t_{i j}$ are $t_{21}$ and $t_{31}$. The most negative value is -4 . We will let $x_{31}$ enter the basis and take on a positive value by the loop method.
We take the loop path $\left(x_{31}^{+}, 10^{-}, 10^{+}, 40^{-}\right)$
$x_{31}$ Will Enter The Basis:

| $40^{-}$ | $10^{+}$ |  |  | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 |  |  | 30 |
| $y y^{+}$ | $10^{-}$ | 25 | 35 | 70 |
| $4 y$ | 50 | 25 | 35 |  |

## Our New Solution Is

| 30 | 20 |  |  | 50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 30 |  |  | 30 |
| 30 |  | 25 | 35 | 70 |
| 10 |  | 25 | 35 |  |

The new value for the objective function is $z=2(30)+1(20)+2(30)+1(10)+3(25)+2(35)=$ 295, smaller than the preceding value of 335 .

Note: $\bar{z}=z+(10)\left(t_{31}\right)=335+(10)(-4)=295$

## Are Any of the New $t_{i j}$ 's Negative?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}+v_{1}=c_{11}=2 \\
& u_{1}+v_{2}=c_{12}=1 \\
& u_{2}+v_{2}=c_{22}=2 \\
& u_{3}+v_{1}=c_{31}=1 \\
& u_{3}+v_{3}=c_{33}=3 \\
& u_{3}+v_{4}=c_{34}=2
\end{aligned} \text { which leads to }
$$

$$
u_{1}=0 \quad v_{1}=2
$$

$$
u_{2}=1 \quad v_{2}=1
$$

$$
u_{3}=-1 \quad v_{3}=4
$$

$$
v_{4}=3
$$

Computing the $t_{i j}$ for cells not in the basic solution yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{13}=3-0-4=-1 \\
t_{14}=5-0-3=2 \\
t_{21}=2-1-2=-1 \\
t_{23}=4-1-4=-1 \\
t_{24}=1-1-3=-3 t_{32}=4+1-1=4
\end{gathered}
$$

$t_{24}$ is the most negative so $x_{24}$ will enter the basis.

The loop we choose is $\left(y^{+}, 35^{-}, 10^{+}, 30^{-}, 20^{+}, 30^{-}\right)$

| $30^{-}$ | $20^{+}$ |  |  | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $30^{-}$ |  | $y^{+}$ | 30 |
|  |  | 25 | $35^{-}$ | 70 |
| 40 | 50 | 25 | 35 |  |

## Our New Solution is

|  | 50 |  |  | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 |  | 30 | 30 |
| 40 |  | 25 | 5 | 70 |
| 70 | 50 | 25 | 35 |  |

The new value for the objective function is
$z=295-(30)(3)=205$, smaller than the preceding value of 295 .

## Are We Done Yet?

Recompute $u_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ and find new values of $t_{i j}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l|l}
u_{1}=0 & v_{1}=-1 \\
u_{2}=1 & v_{2}=1 \\
u_{3}=2 & v_{3}=1 \\
& v_{4}=0
\end{array} \\
& t_{11}=2-0+1=3 \mid t_{21}=2-1+1=2 \\
& t_{13}=3-0-1=2 \quad t_{23}=4-1-1=2 \\
& t_{14}=5-0-0=5 \quad t_{32}=4-2-1=1
\end{aligned}
$$

All the nonbasic $t_{i j}$ 's are positive.
We have reached an optimal solution.

## Simplex Transportation Algorithm

1. Find an initial basic feasible solution using the Northwest Corner (or Vogel) rule.
2. Calculate the $u_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ from the equation $u_{i}+v_{j}=c_{i j}$ for those $x_{i j}$ in the current basic feasible solution.
3. Using the $u_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ calculated in Step 2 , calculate $t_{i j}$ for each nonbasic cell of the tableau.
4. If all $t_{i j} \geq 0$, the current solution is optimal.
5. If one or more $t_{i j}<0$, then choose the most negative one and pick the corresponding $x_{i j}$ to become positive in the new solution.
6. Calculate the new solution by find the alternating sign path using the cells in the current basic feasible solution and recalculating all $x_{i j}$.
7. Return To Step 2.

## Demand $\neq$ Supply?

## If Demand Exceeds Supply,

- Create an additional dummy row.
- Any amount shipped from this row in the optimal solution will mean that these parts of the demands cannot be satisfied.


## If Supply Exceeds Demand,

- Create an additional dummy column.
- Use it to handle the amount that will not be shipped to an actual definition.
Assume any costs $c_{i j}$ in any dummy row or column are 0 .

